State of California
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency
Department of Transportation

Prepared By: Terry L. Abbott Chief Division of Local Assistance (916) 653-1776 PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS
Extension Request – Project Allocation

Resolution: G-01-21

CTC Meeting: April 3-4, 2002

Reference No.: 2.8a(1)

Original Signed By ROBERT L. GARCIA Chief Financial Officer April 1, 2002

WAIVER REQUEST - CTC RESOLUTION G-01-21 CTC STIP GUIDELINES SECTION 65 - TIMELY USE OF FUNDS

LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS PROJECTS WAIVER-02-08

RECOMMENDATION

The Department of Transportation's recommendations are shown on the attachment.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Resolution G-01-21, *STIP Guidelines*, adopted by the California Transportation Commission (Commission) on July 12, 2001, stipulates that funds programmed for all components of local grant projects are available for allocation only until the end of the fiscal year identified in the State Transportation Improvement Program. The guidelines further stipulate that the Commission may approve waivers to the timely use of funds deadline one time only for up to 20 months in accordance with Section 14529.8 of the Government Code.

The Commission has programmed \$1,864,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 for the two projects on the attached list. The implementing agencies have been unable to allocate the funds and do not anticipate allocating the funds by the June 30, 2002 deadline. The attachment shows the details of each project and the delays that have resulted in the extension requests. The project sponsors request extensions, and the planning agencies concur.

Attachment

Time Extension/Waiver – Allocation Deadline Item 2.8a.(1) – Local Streets and Roads Projects Program Year 2001/2002

Project #	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands)	
		E&P	Extended Deadline
	PPNO	PS&E	
	Project Description	R/W	CT Recommendation
	-	CON	
		TOTAL	
	Reason for Project Delay:		
1	City of Pasadena	\$0	12 months
	Los Angeles	\$0	
		\$0	06/30/2003
	PPNO: 2914B	\$545	
	Arroyo Parkway Corridor	\$545	Support – meets STIP guidelines
	Transportation Improvement	s	
	December 2000 and decign v	would not have to meet Caltrans standards H	Investor the relinquishment was delayed and will
	not be completed until Januar require a Caltrans permit to v will lead to a delay in the pro- contract. Therefore, the city this project.	ry 2003. Therefore, plans will have to be rev work on the property. The combination of recoject. The city estimates a delay of up to 12 n is requesting a 12-month extension for the all	However, the relinquishment was delayed and will rised to meet Caltrans standards and the city will design, permitting and required Caltrans review months in the advertising and award of the Phase 2 location of the construction funds for Phase 2 of
2	not be completed until Januar require a Caltrans permit to v will lead to a delay in the pro- contract. Therefore, the city this project.	ry 2003. Therefore, plans will have to be rev work on the property. The combination of recoject. The city estimates a delay of up to 12 n is requesting a 12-month extension for the all	rised to meet Caltrans standards and the city will design, permitting and required Caltrans review months in the advertising and award of the Phase 2
2	not be completed until Januar require a Caltrans permit to v will lead to a delay in the pro- contract. Therefore, the city this project.	ry 2003. Therefore, plans will have to be rev work on the property. The combination of recoject. The city estimates a delay of up to 12 n is requesting a 12-month extension for the all	rised to meet Caltrans standards and the city will design, permitting and required Caltrans review months in the advertising and award of the Phase 2 location of the construction funds for Phase 2 of
2	not be completed until Januar require a Caltrans permit to v will lead to a delay in the pro- contract. Therefore, the city this project.	ry 2003. Therefore, plans will have to be rev work on the property. The combination of recoject. The city estimates a delay of up to 12 n is requesting a 12-month extension for the all \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	rised to meet Caltrans standards and the city will design, permitting and required Caltrans review months in the advertising and award of the Phase 2 location of the construction funds for Phase 2 of
2	not be completed until Januarequire a Caltrans permit to will lead to a delay in the procontract. Therefore, the city this project. Ventura County Ventura County PPNO: 2694	ry 2003. Therefore, plans will have to be rev work on the property. The combination of recoject. The city estimates a delay of up to 12 n is requesting a 12-month extension for the all \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,319	rised to meet Caltrans standards and the city will design, permitting and required Caltrans review nonths in the advertising and award of the Phase 2 location of the construction funds for Phase 2 of 12 months 06/30/2003
2	not be completed until Januar require a Caltrans permit to v will lead to a delay in the pro- contract. Therefore, the city this project. Ventura County Ventura County	ry 2003. Therefore, plans will have to be rev work on the property. The combination of recoject. The city estimates a delay of up to 12 n is requesting a 12-month extension for the all \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	rised to meet Caltrans standards and the city will design, permitting and required Caltrans review months in the advertising and award of the Phase 2 location of the construction funds for Phase 2 of 12 months

April 1, 2002 Page 1 of 1